

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed and considered the Office Action. Claim 6 has been amended to further define what Applicants believe to be the invention. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendment and following remarks. Claim 7 is allowed (see page 2 of office action). Thus, claims 6 and 7 are pending for consideration on the merits.

All Claims Comply With 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. By way of the foregoing amendment, claim 6 has been amended to define both A1 and A2 as one of the listed formula 2. Accordingly, claim 6 is now believe to further define the invention properly. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112(2) are requested. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and the timely allowance of the pending claims. Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of the response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-2353. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Dated: June 22, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Donald L. Monin, Jr./
Donald L. Monin, Jr.
Registration No.: 47,256
Holland & Knight LLP
2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. – Suite 100
Washington, DC 20006-6801
(202) 419-2521 - Telephone
(202) 955-5564 - Facsimile

8669570_v1